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Requirements

Moving from functional to structural models
Designing user interaction

Deployment
The Integral Object-Oriented Software Development Framework

OPEN/Metis
What is OPEN/Metis?

A methodology for the development of business information systems

Based on the ISO/IEC 24744 metamodel

Describes the process to follow and the products to use and generate

Iterative, incremental lifecycle

Definitely not “agile”, but very capable
Brief bio of OPEN/Metis

Started up in the early 1990s in academia

Largely inspired by the Fusion method

In the late 1990s it is transferred to industry

Improved through multiple inputs

Internal usage and consulting work since then

Over 20 small and mid-sized projects
How are we supposed to use the system?

Designing User Interaction
35% of effort on a typical project* is spent on user interface-related tasks

* Aggregated internal, unpublished data of LAFC and Neco TI.
Common Questions from Developers

“How do I describe what happens inside the system during the performance of a use case?”

“How do I associate system behaviour to dialog boxes and screens?”

“How do I link UI-related classes, attributes, etc. to other, non-UI-related ones?”

“UML is useless here!”
Observations

UI modelling should not be an isolated task.

Very complex dynamics occur at the UI boundary.

UI structure is far from trivial.

We need a language plus process guidance on UI modelling.
A **User Interface Model** describes the structural basics

A **Service Model** describes the dynamics
User Interface Model

Describes the basic building blocks of the user interaction from a structural perspective

Roughly corresponds to the appearance of the system
User Interface Model

[Diagram showing a user interface model with labels such as Name, Literal text, User interface element, Parameter, Label control, Text control, Action control, and the corresponding control elements for Product, Unit price, Quantity, Total price, Special instructions, and OK/Cancel buttons.]
User Interface Model

Linked to domain model via parameters
Linked to dynamics via action controls
User Interface Model

Abstract specification
User Interface Models Notation

Parameter: Type
User Interface Models Language

Over 20 specialised classes

Input/output controls

Output controls

Information shapes
Service Model

Describes the dynamics of the user interaction in full detail

Created from use cases

Adds implementation details
Service Model
Service Model

Linked to user interface model via element and action references
Service Model Notation
Service Model Language

About 25 specialised classes

States, transitions

State types
User Interaction Design Recap

Part of the “High-Level Modelling” process kind

Integrated with other aspects of design, such as domain modelling and use case analysis

Abstract enough as to yield different implementations
Where do classes come from?

Moving from Functional to Structural Models
65% of the classes on a typical project* are not related to the application domain

* Aggregated internal, unpublished data of LAFC and Neco TI.
Common Questions from Developers

“How do I find the classes in the requirements?”

“How do I associate implementation classes to problem-domain classes?”

“How do I distribute functionality across implementation and problem-domain classes?”

“Use cases and UML don’t work together!”
Observations

Functional requirements are, well... *functional*. Use cases are functional too.

Any OO design should be, by nature, structure-focused.

We need a language plus process guidance on how to bridge this gap.
Observations

How?
OPEN/Metis Solution

A **Service Model** implements each use case, adding implementation details.

**Operations** are created for each service and busy state.

The **responsible class** for each operation is determined.
Example: A Use Case
Implementing the Service Model

Operations:

*CheckOut*, *CheckCartEmpty*, *RetrieveCustomerAddress*, *PlaceOrder*, *EmptyCart*
## Determining Responsible Classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Responsible Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CheckOut</td>
<td>OrderManager (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CheckCartEmpty</td>
<td>Cart (domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetrieveCustomerAddress</td>
<td>CustomerManager (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PlaceOrder</td>
<td>OrderManager (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmptyCart</td>
<td>Cart (domain)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementing another Service Model

Operations:
CustomerLogin, CheckCustomerLoginInfo, RecordCustomerLogin
### Determining Responsible Classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Responsible Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CustomerLogin</td>
<td>UIManager (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CheckCustomerLoginInfo</td>
<td>CustomerManager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RecordCustomerLogin</td>
<td>CustomerManager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After a Few Iterations...

**Cart**
- `bool CheckEmpty()`
- `void Empty()`

**OrderManager**
- `void CheckOut()`
- `void PlaceOrder()`

**CustomerManager**
- `Address RetrieveAddress()`
- `bool CheckLoginInfo()`
- `void RecordLogin()`
Functional to Structural Recap

Classes are populated incrementally from services

Some classes are even introduced during this process

Full traceability from class structure back to use cases
Conclusions

Do not neglect user interaction modelling; it is the lifeblood of the system dynamics.

Find a way to derive the system’s structural blocks from its functional requirements.
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